



# Romani Politics in Contemporary Europe

Poverty, Ethnic Mobilization,  
and the Neoliberal Order

Edited by Nando Sigona and Nidhi Trehan

Foreword by Etienne Balibar



# Romani Politics in Contemporary Europe

*Also by Nando Sigona*

REFUGEE COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS AND DISPERSAL: Networks,  
Resources and Social Capital (co-authored)


# **Romani Politics in Contemporary Europe**

**Poverty, Ethnic Mobilization, and  
the Neoliberal Order**

Edited by

Nando Sigona and Nidhi Trehan

palgrave  
macmillan



Selection and editorial matter © Ferdinando Sigona and Nidhi Trehan 2009  
Individual chapters © their respective authors 2009  
Foreword © Etienne Balibar

**Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 2009 978-0-230-51662-5**

All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

No portion of this publication may be reproduced, copied or transmitted save with written permission or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or under the terms of any licence permitting limited copying issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency, Saffron House, 6-10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS.

Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

The authors have asserted their rights to be identified as the authors of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

First published 2009 by  
PALGRAVE MACMILLAN

Palgrave Macmillan in the UK is an imprint of Macmillan Publishers Limited, registered in England, company number 785998, of Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS.

Palgrave Macmillan in the US is a division of St Martin's Press LLC, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

Palgrave Macmillan is the global academic imprint of the above companies and has companies and representatives throughout the world.

Palgrave® and Macmillan® are registered trademarks in the United States, the United Kingdom, Europe and other countries.

ISBN 978-1-349-35452-8      ISBN 978-0-230-28116-5 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1057/9780230281165

This book is printed on paper suitable for recycling and made from fully managed and sustained forest sources. Logging, pulping and manufacturing processes are expected to conform to the environmental regulations of the country of origin.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

# Contents

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	vii
<i>Foreword</i>	viii
Etienne Balibar	
<i>Preface and Acknowledgements</i>	xiv
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	xvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xx
1 Introduction: Romani Politics in Neoliberal Europe <i>Nando Sigona and Nidhi Trehan</i>	1
<b>Part One The Romani Political Space in Europe</b>	
2 EU Initiatives on Roma: Limitations and Ways Forward <i>Will Guy</i>	23
3 The Romani Subaltern within Neoliberal European Civil Society: NGOization of Human Rights and Silent Voices <i>Nidhi Trehan</i>	51
4 Beyond Boundaries? Comparing the Construction of the Political Categories ‘Gypsies’ and ‘Roma’ Before and After EU Enlargement <i>Katrin Simhandl</i>	72
5 Romani Political Mobilization from the First International Romani Union Congress to the European Roma, Sinti and Travellers Forum <i>Jud Nirenberg</i>	94
6 Contentious Politics in Europe: Experiences of Desegregation Policy in Hungary and the Push for an EU-Level Strategy on Romani Integration <i>Nidhi Trehan in conversation with MEP Viktória Mohácsi</i>	116

7	The Limits of Rights-Based Discourse in Romani Women's Activism: The Gender Dimension in Romani Politics <i>Angéla Kóczé</i>	135
<b>Part Two Domestic Perspectives</b>		
8	The Romani Movement in Romania: Institutionalization and (De)mobilization <i>Iulius Rostas</i>	159
9	Social Unrest in Slovakia 2004: Romani Reaction to Neoliberal 'Reforms' <i>Martin Marušák and Leo Singer</i>	186
10	Being Roma Activists in Post-Independence Kosovo <i>Nando Sigona in conversation with Avdula (Dai) Mustafa and Gazmen Salijevic</i>	209
11	Spanish <i>Gitanos</i> , Romani Migrants and European Roma Identity: (Re)unification or Self-Affirmation? <i>Miguel Laparra and Almudena Macías</i>	226
12	New Labour's Policies and Their Effectiveness for the Provision of Sites for Gypsies and Travellers in England <i>Jo Richardson and Andrew Ryder</i>	246
13	The 'Problema Nomadi' vis-à-vis the Political Participation of Roma and Sinti at the Local Level in Italy <i>Nando Sigona</i>	272
	Conclusion: A 'People's Europe' for Romani Citizens? <i>Nando Sigona and Nidhi Trehan</i>	293
	<i>Index</i>	301

# Figures and Tables

## Figures

11.1	Demographic pyramid of the Gitano community and the Spanish population (2004)	228
12.1	Caravans counted on authorized sites – both council and private	264

## Map

9.1	Map of Slovakia: Sites of Social Unrest, 2004	193
-----	---	-----

## Tables

9.1	Costs of some basic goods and facilities in 2004, Slovakia	192
9.2	Activation work – the difference between demand and supply	201
9.3	Social benefits before the reform	203
9.4	Social benefits after the reform – beginning 2004	203
9.5	Social benefits – before and after	204
9.6	Social benefits – the real difference without activation benefit and including the inflation index	205
11.1	Impact of the Enlargement on Romani population in the European Union	235
13.1	Roma and Sinti in the local press, Italy	281

# Foreword

*Etienne Balibar, University of Paris X (Nanterre) and  
University of California (Irvine)*

In their excellent collection of essays, Nidhi Trehan, Nando Sigona, and their collaborators have told a story that is sad, but also fascinating, and vital for the future of the European continent. It is sad because it describes what is probably the oldest, but also one of the most brutal and vicious patterns of exclusion in Europe today, with its consequences of prejudice, stigmatization, discrimination, and overt or covert violence. For the first time, it proposes a comparative and cumulative account at the level of Europe, drawing upon the consequences of the recent reunification of the continent, and the incorporation of “Eastern” nations into the framework of the European Union. This comparison shows that – from the point of view of the Romani people – the European process of unification certainly has opened some hitherto nonexistent possibilities of communicating among themselves and claiming their rights in a more efficient, more legitimate manner. But it has not altered the basic pattern of persecution, or perhaps it has added new dimensions to it. It is also, for the same reasons, a fascinating story: what was largely invisible becomes visible, and a whole side of European history becomes understandable. Finally, it is vital for the future of Europe: it cannot be built on exclusions, it is not an Empire. Officially, it presents itself as a space for the realization of democratic rights, and the common happiness of its peoples. Practically, it will win legitimacy in the minds and hearts of its citizens (something more difficult than initially imagined) only if it amounts to an *advance* towards more democratic institutions, and a culture with more solidarity, not less. In this respect, the persecution of Roma in Europe, shifting over time from one country to another, in a process of negative emulation as it were, is not a problem for each country separately, it is a “common” and a “communitarian” problem. By addressing it as such – most of the time having to work against the grain – Europeans will not only eliminate a source of internal conflicts and violence

that could become unbearable, they will construct their common citizenship. And, by claiming their rights, raising their voice from the cultural to the civic level, finding the institutional interlocutors and popular allies they need, Roma from all over Europe will win an integration that concerns us collectively.

Being no expert on Romani history and sociology myself, but a European citizen and a philosopher who has worked on other aspects of exclusion and their impact on the development of democracy, I do not want to evaluate the scholarship of this research, but to react to what I perceived in it to form three major questions at stake. The first concerns exclusion and citizenship, and their transformations at the pan-European level. As the contributions show in great detail, Romani people (also called Gypsies, Sinti, etc.) are deprived of certain basic rights in many European countries and in Europe as such, in spite of the fact that they are European citizens, since they are full citizens of the Member States. These basic rights include circulation, residence, employment, education, health, and cultural rights. Romani people are forced to stay in certain areas, from which they can also become arbitrarily expelled. They are targeted either as "nomads" or as nationals coming from certain countries. They are *a priori* labelled a delinquent or a dangerous population. They are never admitted or and are grossly underrepresented in most manual and intellectual professions (with rates of unemployment that reach absolute maxima). Needless to say, these include within public offices. These phenomena are either illegal or legal, under the cover of rules and interstate agreements which concern hygiene, social security, employment policies, and cultural norms. They take place within a background of persistent "popular" extreme violence which is also carried on by neo-fascist groups and criminal gangs, only verbally condemned by many European Member States. Only the most outrageous *pogroms* are reported nationally or internationally in the press. The construction of the EU has had very contradictory effects, which are one of the primary objects of investigation of this book. It has produced a *categorization* of the Romani people as such at the European level, since they have come to be treated as a "problem" in their own right by the EU. This is a preliminary step in the new *racialization* of the Roma. It puts them in the same category as the "migrants" of extra-communitarian origin, in the general framework of what I have labelled the emerging *European apartheid*, the dark

side of the emergence of a 'European citizenship' (Balibar 2003). The difference comes from the fact that "migrants" (and descendants of migrants) are seen as an *external other*, whereas "Gypsies" are seen as an *internal other*. This indeed reinforces the old stereotype of the *enemy from within*, which has murderous effects.

In spite of dramatic historical and social changes, especially after World War II and the end of the Cold War which have led Europe very far away from its past, these phenomena testify to a lasting trace of persecutions in European history.<sup>1</sup> The comparison is inevitable with the much more publicized case of the persecution of a 'racial group' in European history, namely the Jews. The two 'pariah groups' have been jointly targeted by the Nazi genocide (as were also several "deviant" populations). They represent entirely different cases of religious and economic trajectory, but – it is important to note – they both played a central role in establishing connections between the different cultures of Europe (especially in the artistic realm, in the case of "Gypsies"), incarnating the "cosmopolitan" element without which "national" cultures remain isolated and sterile.<sup>2</sup>

This leads me to considering a second question, which more specifically concerns trends of racialization in Europe. Some years ago, I had asked whether one should admit that there is something like a "European" racism or neo-racism, which would have the same relationship of complementarity and excess to the 'supra-national' construction as traditional racisms (anti-Semitism, colonial racism, etc.) had to the nation-state and the classical imperialist constructions (Balibar 2002). One has to be very careful in proposing such formulations. Nevertheless, disturbing phenomena can be observed, which give credit to the hypothesis, placing Roma in the unfortunate position of a test case. At the very least, it could be said that the unification of Europe has made the racialization of the 'Gypsy-problem' more *visible*, because it shows such a blatant contradiction with the general *official* trend towards the overcoming of ethnic and national prejudices, on which the 'new Europe' is built. From this point of view, there are at least three phenomena that I find particularly relevant:

One of them concerns the tendency of European nations to *project* onto Roma their own racial prejudices *vis-à-vis other nations*. Clearly, it is the case that the French press, for example, is eager to report on pogroms taking place in Italy or Hungary, or discrimination

in Romania, but remains almost silent on the way in which local councils in France reject “nomads” from their territory, or the way in which the French border police expels Romanian and Bulgarian citizens to maximize their official records, knowing full well that, as EU citizens, they will soon be returning.

We are thus led to the phenomena of scapegoating, and more precisely, the way in which European “nations”, officially considering each other as members of the same community, having surmounted their age-old hostilities, are in fact still full of mutual resentment and distrust – which to some extent comes from the fact that the European construction, has remained suspended half way. They tend to be projected onto “deviant” groups. The Roma are like a *nation in excess* in Europe, which is singled out for hate not only because it is spread across borders, but because it incarnates the archetype of a *stateless people*, resisting the norms of territorialization and cultural normalization (all the more ironic given that, in many respects, this singularity is itself the result of persecutions).

This problem, as we know, is exceptionally acute when considering the relationship of “western” and “eastern” Europe. It is a fact that the Soviet-type regimes in Eastern Europe during the Cold War, in countries which also had important Romani populations, combined coercive and normative policy agendas with programmes of economic integration, helping to label Roma as “protégés of socialism” in countries where today the majority populations see admission to the EU (but for how long?) as the quickest way towards full economic and social liberalization. Within the other half of the continent, “Western” countries and public opinions perceive them as perfect illustrations of the poverty and deregulation with which the enlargement of the EU threatens its old members. In both cases, they are rejected and seen as “Oriental” rather than properly European.<sup>3</sup> This is where the choice of the book to closely associate the situation of Romani people with neoliberal economic and social policies, and more generally a neoliberal conception of European governance, becomes particularly relevant.

With this remark, we reach the third aspect of these contributions that I find important for the European constituency as such. A protracted assignment of the Romani to the negative condition of ‘stateless’ community (*de facto* rather than *de jure*: they certainly live under the jurisdiction of states, but are seen as both unable and hostile to